

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 KAREN STROMBERG, et al.,
8 Plaintiffs,
9 v.
10
11 QUALCOMM INCORPORATED, et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. 17-md-02773-JSC

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
**ORDER RE: PROPOSED DISMISSAL
OF UCL UNFAIRNESS CLAIM**

19 In February 2025, the Ninth Circuit “largely affirm[ed]” the judgments against Plaintiffs.
20 *Key v. Qualcomm Inc.*, 129 F.4th 1129, 1135 (9th Cir. 2025). As to Plaintiffs’ UCL unfairness
21 claim, the Ninth Circuit concluded the Court “lacked equitable jurisdiction” and so “vacate[d] the
22 . . . summary judgment order in that respect and remand[ed] with instructions to dismiss that
23 claim without prejudice to refile in state court.” *Id.* at 1147. The mandate has since issued. (Dkt.
24 No. 1044.)

25 Consistent with the Ninth Circuit’s opinion, the Court intends to dismiss without prejudice
26 Plaintiffs’ UCL unfairness claim. If a party objects, the deadline to file an objection is April 8,
27 2025.

28
IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 1, 2025


JACQUELINE SCOTT CORLEY
United States District Judge